

# PIERRE DEGLAIRE v. FRENCH STATE, BANKING ACTORS & PRIVATE PARTIES

## GLOBAL CROSS-JURISDICTIONAL ACTION

---

Dossier de procédures internationales — Mai 2026

Nouveau front de responsabilité pénale personnelle —

Cinq juridictions · Complicité documentée · Blockchain immuable

---

### DOCUMENTS INCLUS / DOCUMENTS ENCLOSED

**1. Petition for Writ of Mandamus — DC Circuit**

U.S. Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit — 28 U.S.C. § 1651

**2. Formal Complaint — DOJ Inspector General**

U.S. Department of Justice — 18 U.S.C. § 242

**3. Misconduct in Public Office — King's Bench**

HMCTS / JCIO — R v Dytham [1979] — Human Rights Act 1998

**4. Third Supplemental — ICC Article 15**

International Criminal Court — Ref. 88058ffc — Art. 7(1)(h)/(k)

**5. Senate Oversight Request**

Sen. Chuck Grassley · Sen. Ron Johnson — FBI Inaction 169 Days

**6. Mise en demeure — Parquet National Financier**

Jean-François Bohnert — Complicité de tentatives d'assassinat

---

### RÉFÉRENCES ACTIVES / ACTIVE REFERENCES

— SDNY Case: 1:25-cv-10163-LTS (transferred to D.D.C. — Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain, January 9, 2026)

— King's Bench Division: JCIO Refs. 50320/26 & 50341/26 — HMCTS confirmation Charlotte Brice, March 27, 2026

— ECHR: Ref. 30346/25

— ICC Communication: OTPLink Ref. 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2 — Filed May 1, 2026

— Blockchain: yhrkco.eth — TX Hash: 0x395720697bd1c40f6e5e28c032702f31277921f5d70eb1f7e98d83a804b4c5ff —  
May 24, 2026

— Quantum: €124.4Bn conservative · €373.2Bn treble damages · €388Bn as of June 8, 2026

---

**"Il y a des vérités que personne ne veut entendre. Pas parce qu'elles sont fausses. Parce qu'elles  
sont trop vraies."**

Pierre Deglaire · pierre.deglaire@gmail.com · +33 6 63 90 13 01 · Dubai, UAE · May 28, 2026

"Unique ecosystem, born from a unique human experience."

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**PIERRE DEGLAIRE,**

*Petitioner,*

v.

**U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA;**

**CLERK OF COURT, CIVIL DIVISION, DDC;**

**KASH PATEL, Director, FBI, in his official and personal capacity;**

**TODD BLANCHE, Acting Attorney General, in his official and personal capacity;**

**and JOHN AND JANE DOES 1-50,**

*Respondents.*

---

No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

**AND NOTICE OF PERSONAL CRIMINAL LIABILITY**

*Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651 (All Writs Act) and 18 U.S.C. § 242*

---

**Pierre Deglaire, Petitioner, Pro Se**

pierre.deglair@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com

+33 6 63 90 13 01 — Dubai, United Arab Emirates

youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

Underlying Case: Deglaire v. French State et al., Case No. 1:25-cv-10163-LTS (S.D.N.Y.),  
transferred to D.D.C. by order of Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain, January 9, 2026.

ICC Ref.: 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2 (submitted May 1, 2026)

---

## I. INTRODUCTION AND NOTICE OF PERSONAL LIABILITY

This Petition arises from a documented and extraordinary institutional failure spanning ten months and multiple continents. It is also a formal notice to named Respondents, in their personal capacities, that their continued inaction now constitutes knowing participation in the ongoing physical endangerment of Petitioner in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 242 — the federal criminal statute prohibiting deprivation of constitutional rights under color of law.

The institutional record of U.S. authorities' knowledge of this matter does not begin in December 2025. It begins in **July 2025** — when Petitioner first contacted U.S. intelligence services at the American Embassy in Dubai. The December 2025 FBI filing was not a first alert. It was the fifth documented attempt — across three countries and multiple U.S. institutions — to place this matter before U.S. authorities.

As of May 28, 2026 — **ten to eleven months** after the first U.S. government contact — Petitioner has received zero substantive response from any U.S. institution. During this period, he survived three documented physical attacks. Named Respondents held the complete prior attack record throughout.

During this period of deliberate inaction, Petitioner survived. The next attack may not be survived. Named Respondents have been formally notified of this danger. Their continued inaction is not administrative delay. **It is a documented choice.**

---

## II. JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651 (All Writs Act). The D.C. Circuit has supervisory jurisdiction over the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Mandamus is appropriate where: (1) the petitioner has a clear and indisputable right to relief; (2) the respondent has a clear duty to perform the act; and (3) no adequate alternative remedy exists. *Cheney v. U.S. District Court*, 542 U.S. 367, 380 (2004). All three elements are satisfied.

---

## III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND — TEN MONTHS OF DOCUMENTED U.S. KNOWLEDGE

### A. July 2025 — CIA Contact, American Embassy Dubai

In July 2025, Petitioner presented himself at the American Embassy in Dubai and formally requested to speak with Central Intelligence Agency personnel regarding documented criminal operations in France with global banking market implications. After passing through two to three

screening interviews with Embassy officers, Petitioner was admitted to a secure room within the Embassy and conducted a thirty-minute telephone interview with a CIA officer — identified by first name only, no contact information provided.

Petitioner explained: the decade-long destruction of his banking innovation project (LUCY BANK); the coordinated suppression by French state-linked banking actors; and the broader implications for the liberalization of the European banking market — representing approximately €20 trillion in deposits — systematically protected from new entrants through criminal means, to the detriment of U.S. banking institutions seeking market access.

Petitioner returned the following day requesting a more complete debriefing. He was told no further action would be taken. No follow-up, no case reference, no communication of any kind. **The U.S. government's knowledge of this matter begins July 2025 — not December 2025.**

### **B. November-December 2025 — Multiple Failed Attempts to Submit Filing**

**U.S. Consulate, Bordeaux, France:** Denied physical reception. Directed to deposit in letterbox via interphone only. No acknowledgment, no reference, no follow-up.

**Multi-consulate postal campaign, Andorra:** Having documented proof that all registered mail (DHL, FedEx) was being intercepted by the French State, Petitioner drove over 1,000 kilometers to Andorra to mail filing copies to three to four U.S. consulates across Europe. No acknowledgment ever received.

**U.S. Consulate, Barcelona, Spain:** Received on the sidewalk. Officer cited late hour, reduced staffing, upcoming weekend — and directed Petitioner to proceed to the United States, despite established procedures permitting in-country submission to a liaison officer. This redirection, in the context of documented physical danger, constitutes a failure of consular duty.

### **C. December 2025 — New York: The Physical Reality of This Filing**

The journey to New York required 45 hours of travel. For documented security reasons, advance preparation was not possible. ESTA processing required an overnight wait. Petitioner spent the first night in New York in the street, in temperatures of approximately -5°C.

Petitioner presented at FBI New York Field Office at 3:00 AM. Not received. Returned Saturday — not received. Returned Sunday — not received. On Monday December 8, 2025, after three hours of waiting in cold temperatures, he was received by FBI agents and verbally briefed the matter. USPS delivery of the filing was confirmed December 9, 2025, 11:23 AM.

This sequence is not described for sympathy. It documents the extraordinary effort required by a person in danger to access institutions with a legal duty to receive him. The contrast with those institutions' subsequent 169 days of zero response is stark and legally relevant.

#### **D. 139 Days of DDC Inaction — Zero FBI Response**

Chief Judge Swain entered her transfer order January 9, 2026. As of May 28, 2026:

- No DDC case number has been assigned;
- No Article III judge has been assigned;
- No acknowledgment of receipt has been provided;
- No response to multiple formal written requests has been received;
- No emergency motion for interim protective measures can be filed because there is no case, no number, and no judge.

FBI: 169 days of zero response to all submissions since December 8-9, 2025, including the Formal Demand for Damages of April 25, 2026.

#### **E. Three Physical Attacks During the Period of Inaction**

**Georgia, March 2026:** Contact-based substance exposure by unknown individual. Acute pancreatitis onset 72 hours later. Documented: timestamped AI logs, AXA insurer correspondence, signed medical waiver.

**Turkey, April 2026:** Turkish customs interception of life-critical medications sent with valid French prescriptions. Two weeks without essential medication. Documented: parcel tracking, customs notification, hotel manager attestation, family correspondence.

**Dubai, May 22, 2026:** Repeated physical contact (10-12 handshakes over 90 minutes) by unknown individual who departed abruptly. Pattern identical to Georgia. Documented: timestamped WhatsApp exchange.

Each of these attacks occurred while Petitioner had an active federal case that no court was processing. Each was foreseeable. Each was preventable had the DDC acted on the transfer order it received.

#### **F. The Pattern — From Prior Knowledge to Knowing Facilitation**

The attacks documented since December 2025 are not isolated incidents. They are the most recent instances in a decade-long documented pattern that includes: two vehicle sabotage incidents designed to cause fatal accidents; three prior poisoning attempts predating the Georgia incident; sustained financial strangulation reducing Petitioner to destitution; unlawful detention in Serbia (2022); forced psychiatric internment and sedation without legal basis (France); and

years of systematic psychological destruction — the deliberate isolation, surveillance, and elimination of every social, professional, and institutional support available to Petitioner.

All of these facts were fully documented in the Global Filing received by Respondents on December 8-9, 2025. Respondents were not ignorant of the threat environment. **They were fully informed of it.**

This distinction is not semantic. Under 18 U.S.C. § 242, the difference between negligence and knowing facilitation determines whether an offense is punishable by one year or by life imprisonment. When an official receives documentation of ongoing attempted murder, and subsequent murder attempts occur during that official's period of inaction, the legal characterization shifts from failure to act to **knowing participation in the conditions that enabled those attempts**. Respondents crossed this threshold no later than the date of the Georgia incident — March 2026 — while they held the complete documented record of prior attempts in their possession and had taken no action.

**The escalation is not arithmetic. It is exponential. Each attack that occurs while Respondents hold the documentation of prior attacks without response constitutes additional evidence of a system — not a series of unrelated failures — in which Respondents have chosen to participate by their sustained, knowing inaction.**

---

#### **IV. THE ANTITRUST DIMENSION — U.S. MARKET NEXUS**

The European banking market represents approximately €20 trillion in deposits. Petitioner's filing documents a systematic, coordinated suppression of new entrants — including his LUCY BANK innovation — by incumbent French state-linked banking actors, through means that include criminal obstruction, market manipulation, and state-sponsored persecution of innovators.

U.S. banking institutions — including major American banks with European operations — have been directly and indirectly harmed by this non-liberalization of the European banking market. The Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1, 2) and Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. § 15) reach this conduct precisely because of its effect on U.S. commerce and U.S. market participants. The €373.2 billion treble damages claim rests on this foundation.

This is the substance Petitioner explained to CIA personnel in Dubai in July 2025. It is the substance of the Global Filing delivered to the FBI in December 2025. It is the substance that remains unprocessed by U.S. institutions after ten months.

## V. IRREVERSIBLE DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE DURING PERIOD OF INACTION

This section addresses a harm distinct from, and additional to, the physical danger to Petitioner: the ongoing and irreversible destruction of evidence.

The underlying case involves over 30,000 archived emails, financial transaction records, communication logs, internal banking correspondence, and documentary evidence spanning ten years. Every day of procedural inaction provides adverse parties — all of whom have been formally notified of the proceedings — with additional time to destroy, alter, transfer, or render inaccessible the evidence necessary to establish Petitioner's claims.

Under the doctrine of spoliation of evidence, courts may impose severe sanctions, including adverse inference instructions, dismissal of defenses, or default judgment, where a party with notice of litigation destroys relevant evidence. *Silvestri v. General Motors Corp.*, 271 F.3d 583, 590 (4th Cir. 2001). The duty to preserve arose for all adverse parties no later than July 2025 — when the first U.S. government contact occurred.

The DDC's failure to open the case, assign a judge, and enter a preservation order has created a window during which adverse parties have operated without judicial supervision, without any order compelling document preservation, and without any mechanism through which Petitioner can seek emergency discovery. This window has been open for ten months.

Some of this evidence — communications, transaction records, internal memoranda — cannot be recreated once destroyed. **The loss is permanent.** It affects not only Petitioner's ability to prove his claims but the ability of courts, regulatory authorities, and law enforcement to understand and respond to the full scope of the documented systemic conduct.

---

## VI. PERSONAL CRIMINAL LIABILITY OF NAMED RESPONDENTS

This section is addressed directly to named Respondents Kash Patel and Todd Blanche in their personal capacities, and to all John and Jane Doe Respondents.

### 18 U.S.C. § 242 — Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law

Federal law makes it a crime for any person acting under color of law to willfully deprive any person of any right, privilege, or immunity secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States. Where the offense results in death, or involves kidnapping, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, the offense is punishable by any term of years or life imprisonment, or death. 18 U.S.C. § 242.

The right of access to the courts is a fundamental constitutional right. The right to emergency judicial protection when a life is documented to be in danger is a fundamental constitutional right. The deliberate failure of named officials — each of whom has received formal notification of the danger to Petitioner, each of whom has the authority to act, and each of whom has chosen not to act — constitutes a willful deprivation of these rights under color of their official authority.

Named Respondents are formally notified that: (1) Petitioner has survived three documented physical attacks since December 2025; (2) all three attacks occurred during the period of their documented inaction; (3) the pattern of attacks is escalating; (4) a fourth attack may be fatal; and (5) each day of further inaction deepens each named Respondent's personal criminal exposure under 18 U.S.C. § 242.

### **Command Responsibility**

Under the principle of command responsibility — recognized in both domestic and international law, and specifically articulated in Article 28 of the Rome Statute — a superior who knew or should have known that subordinates were committing or about to commit crimes, and who failed to take all necessary and reasonable measures within their power to prevent or repress such acts, bears criminal responsibility.

Director Kash Patel received Petitioner's formal filing on December 8-9, 2025. He has commanded the FBI New York Field Office for 169 days without directing any response. U.S. intelligence services under the authority of his predecessor received Petitioner in a secure Embassy room in July 2025 — over ten months ago — and directed no action. Acting Attorney General Todd Blanche has commanded the Department of Justice since April 2, 2026, with knowledge of this matter, without directing any response. Their personal criminal exposure is not theoretical. **It is documented.**

### **A Note to All Named Respondents**

The individuals named in this Petition continue to attend their offices, collect their salaries, and conduct their lives. Petitioner does not have this luxury. For ten years, Petitioner has had no regular income, no stable residence, and no assurance of survival. He has been poisoned, detained, surveilled, economically destroyed, and institutionally silenced. He continues.

The individuals named in this Petition may believe that institutional immunity or the passage of time will protect them. They are advised to consider the experience of those who stood before international criminal tribunals believing the same thing. The blockchain publication of the complete evidentiary record on May 24, 2026 (yhrkco.eth) means that the documentation of their inaction is now permanent, public, and indestructible.

Proactive engagement — acknowledging the filings, assigning the case, establishing a secure channel — will be noted as a mitigating factor. The absence of any engagement will be documented as an aggravating circumstance in all current and future proceedings. **The choice remains theirs, for now.**

---

## VII. LEGAL STANDARD FOR MANDAMUS

All three conditions for mandamus are met. Petitioner has a clear constitutional right to access the courts. The DDC Clerk has a clear ministerial duty to process transferred cases. No alternative remedy exists — Petitioner cannot appeal, cannot file motions, and cannot seek emergency relief in a case that does not formally exist.

All five Cheney factors weigh in favor of Petitioner: (1) no adequate alternative remedy; (2) irreparable harm not correctable on appeal; (3) clear legal error; (4) ongoing systemic failure; and (5) novel and important constitutional questions. *Cheney v. U.S. District Court*, 542 U.S. 367 (2004).

---

## VIII. THE HUMANITARIAN DIMENSION — FOR THE RECORD

For the permanent record of these proceedings, and for the information of all named Respondents:

As of June 8, 2026 — six months from the SDNY filing — interest accrued on the trebled damages base (€373.2 billion at 8% per annum for six months) amounts to approximately €14.9 billion. Applied to the 51% statutory humanitarian redistribution commitment of the YHRK Foundation (registered Georgia, March 31, 2026), this delay represents approximately **€7.6 billion in destroyed humanitarian redistribution capacity** — equivalent to the annual nutrition budget for approximately **6 to 7 million people**.

Every additional month of inaction adds approximately €2.5 billion in accrued damages and the equivalent of one additional month of global hunger funding that was within reach and was prevented.

The named Respondents in this Petition go about their professional lives. They are compensated. They are protected. Meanwhile, the people who would have benefited from the redistribution that this systematic destruction prevented do not have this luxury. They are hungry. Some of them will not survive the additional months that this Court's expedited action could prevent.

This Court is not asked to rule on these figures. It is asked to note them. They will be part of the permanent record of what was at stake, and what each party chose to do — or not do — with the authority they held.

---

## **IX. RELIEF REQUESTED**

Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the Clerk of Court, Civil Division, United States District Court for the District of Columbia, to immediately assign a DDC case number and an Article III judge to the matter transferred pursuant to Chief Judge Swain's order of January 9, 2026;
2. Issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the DDC to provide written confirmation of receipt and case status to Petitioner within five (5) calendar days;
3. Issue a Writ of Mandamus directing Director Kash Patel to provide written acknowledgment of the Global Filing of December 8-9, 2025, and to establish a secure channel for evidentiary transmission, within fifteen (15) calendar days;
4. Issue a Writ of Mandamus directing Acting Attorney General Todd Blanche to provide written acknowledgment of the formal submissions made to the DOJ and to confirm routing to the appropriate division;
5. Enter an emergency preservation order directing all parties with notice of Case No. 1:25-cv-10163-LTS to immediately cease any destruction, alteration, or concealment of documents, communications, or records related to the matters raised in the Global Filing;
6. Direct disclosure of the identities of all John and Jane Doe Respondents through appropriate process;
7. Grant such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

---

## **X. CONCLUSION**

Ten to eleven months of documented U.S. government knowledge. Five contacts across three countries. A night in the street at -5°C to reach the FBI. 139 days of DDC inaction. 169 days of FBI silence. Three physical attacks on top of a decade of prior documented attempts.

The DDC's failure to perform ministerial duties on a transferred case has rendered a Chief Judge's order a nullity. It has left Petitioner without any judicial forum while physical attacks have continued and evidence has been destroyed. It has allowed damages to accrue at €2.5 billion per month while 6 to 7 million people's worth of humanitarian capacity has been destroyed.

Named Respondents were not ignorant. They were informed — since July 2025. The permanent, immutable blockchain record at yhrkco.eth ensures that the documentation of their choices cannot be erased.

The All Writs Act and the Constitution require this Court to act. Petitioner respectfully urges it to do so without further delay.

Respectfully submitted,

**Pierre Deglaire**

Petitioner, Pro Se

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com

+33 6 63 90 13 01 — Dubai, United Arab Emirates

youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

SDNY Case: 1:25-cv-10163-LTS

ICC Ref.: 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2

Date: May 28, 2026

---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on May 28, 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petition for Writ of Mandamus was served upon the following Respondents by email and through available electronic channels:

- U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia — Clerk of Court, Civil Division
- Director Kash Patel — Federal Bureau of Investigation (tips.fbi@ic.fbi.gov / NYC Field Office)
- Acting Attorney General Todd Blanche — Department of Justice (DOJ contact channels)
- DOJ Inspector General Michael Horowitz (oig.hotline@usdoj.gov)

**Pierre Deglaire**

Pro Se Petitioner

*D. Deglaire*

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL**

oig.hotline@usdoj.gov

---

**FORMAL COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT**

*Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 530C and the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended*

---

**COMPLAINANT:**

Pierre Deglaire — French national, born January 13, 1973, Paris, France  
pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com | +33 6 63 90 13 01  
Dubai, United Arab Emirates | youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

**SUBJECTS OF COMPLAINT:**

1. Kash Patel, Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation — official and personal capacity
2. Todd Blanche, Acting Attorney General of the United States — official and personal capacity
3. CIA personnel, American Embassy Dubai, July 2025 — identity unknown to Complainant, to be identified through OIG investigation (Doe Defendants)
4. John and Jane Does 1-50 — all U.S. government personnel at all contact points who received and failed to process the submissions described herein

**DATE:** May 28, 2026

**RELATED CASE:** Deglaire v. French State et al., Case No. 1:25-cv-10163-LTS  
(S.D.N.Y./D.D.C.)

**ICC REFERENCE:** OTPLink Ref. 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2 (filed May 1, 2026)

---

**I. NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THIS COMPLAINT**

This formal complaint is submitted to the Office of the Inspector General of the United States Department of Justice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 530C and the Inspector General Act of 1978. It documents ten to eleven months of U.S. government knowledge of documented physical danger to Complainant — beginning in July 2025 at the American Embassy in Dubai — with zero substantive response from any U.S. institution.

The December 2025 FBI filing was not a first alert. It was the fifth documented attempt — across three countries — to place this matter before U.S. authorities. The institutional record of U.S. awareness begins **July 2025**, not December 2025.

This complaint serves three purposes:

- To create a permanent administrative record of the FBI's and DOJ's documented failure to respond to a formal filing by a party in documented danger;
- To place Director Kash Patel, Acting Attorney General Todd Blanche, and CIA personnel through an independent oversight channel on formal notice of their personal legal exposure;
- To request an independent OIG investigation into the circumstances and decision-making surrounding the complete non-response across all documented contact points.

This complaint will survive any change of administration, any change of personnel, and any future attempt to deny knowledge of the documented facts. It is archived, timestamped, and cross-referenced with the permanent blockchain record at [yhrkco.eth](https://yhrkco.eth) and with five simultaneous international legal proceedings.

---

## **II. CHRONOLOGICAL RECORD — TEN MONTHS OF DOCUMENTED U.S. KNOWLEDGE**

### **A. July 2025 — CIA Contact, American Embassy Dubai**

In July 2025, Complainant presented at the American Embassy in Dubai and formally requested to speak with CIA personnel regarding documented criminal operations in France with global banking market implications. After passing through two to three security screening interviews with Embassy officers, Complainant was admitted to a secure Embassy room and conducted a thirty-minute telephone interview with a CIA officer — identified by first name only, no contact information provided.

Complainant explained: the decade-long destruction of LUCY BANK (a validated European banking innovation); the coordinated criminal suppression of new entrants to the European banking market (approximately €20 trillion in deposits); and the implications for U.S. banking institutions seeking market access to a market systematically protected through criminal means.

Complainant returned the following day requesting a more complete debriefing. He was told no further action would be taken. No follow-up, no reference number, no communication of any kind. **The U.S. government's documented knowledge of this matter begins July 2025.**

### **B. November-December 2025 — Multiple Failed Submission Attempts Across Europe**

**U.S. Consulate, Bordeaux, France:** Denied physical reception. Directed to deposit in letterbox via interphone only. No acknowledgment, no reference, no follow-up.

**Multi-consulate postal campaign, Andorra:** Having documented proof that all registered mail (DHL, FedEx) was being systematically intercepted by the French State, Complainant drove over 1,000 kilometers to Andorra to mail filing copies to three to four U.S. consulates across Europe. No acknowledgment ever received from any consulate.

**U.S. Consulate, Barcelona, Spain:** Received on the sidewalk. Officer cited late hour, reduced staffing, upcoming weekend — and directed Complainant to proceed to the United States, despite established procedures permitting in-country submission to a liaison officer. This redirection, in the context of documented physical danger, constitutes a consular duty failure.

### **C. December 2025 — New York: The Physical Reality**

The journey to New York required 45 hours of travel. For documented security reasons, advance preparation was not possible. ESTA processing required an overnight wait. Complainant spent the first night in New York in the street, in temperatures of approximately -5°C.

Complainant presented at FBI New York Field Office at 3:00 AM. Not received. Returned Saturday — not received. Returned Sunday — not received. On Monday December 8, 2025, after three hours of waiting in the cold, he was received by FBI agents and verbally briefed the matter. USPS delivery of the Global Filing was confirmed December 9, 2025, 11:23 AM. Simultaneously, the same filing was filed at SDNY Pro Se Office (Case No. 1:25-cv-10163-LTS).

This sequence documents the extraordinary and documented effort required by a person in danger to access U.S. institutions with a legal duty to receive him. The contrast with those institutions' subsequent 169 days of zero response is the legal core of this complaint.

### **D. January-May 2026 — DDC Inaction, FBI Silence, Three Further Attacks**

Chief Judge Swain transferred Case No. 1:25-cv-10163-LTS to DDC on January 9, 2026. As of May 28, 2026: 139 days of DDC inaction, no case number, no judge, no acknowledgment. FBI: 169 days of zero response to all submissions.

Three physical attacks occurred during this period:

**Georgia, March 2026:** Contact-based substance exposure. Acute pancreatitis 72 hours later. Evidence: timestamped AI logs, AXA insurer correspondence, signed medical waiver, payment records.

**Turkey, April 2026:** Interception of life-critical medications by Turkish customs. Two weeks without essential medication. Evidence: parcel tracking, customs notification, hotel manager attestation, family correspondence.

**Dubai, May 22, 2026:** Repeated physical contact by unknown individual, abrupt departure. Pattern identical to Georgia. Evidence: timestamped WhatsApp exchange.

### **E. The Pattern — Negligence Becomes Knowing Facilitation**

The attacks documented since December 2025 are not isolated incidents. They are the most recent instances in a decade-long documented pattern that includes: two vehicle sabotage incidents designed to cause fatal accidents; three prior poisoning attempts predating the Georgia incident; sustained financial strangulation reducing Complainant to destitution; unlawful detention in Serbia (2022); forced psychiatric internment and sedation without legal basis (France); and years of systematic psychological destruction — the deliberate isolation, surveillance, and elimination of every social, professional, and institutional support available to Complainant.

All of these facts were fully documented in the Global Filing received by Respondents on December 8-9, 2025. Respondents were not ignorant of the threat environment. **They were fully informed of it.**

This distinction is not semantic. Under 18 U.S.C. § 242, the difference between negligence and knowing facilitation determines whether an offense is punishable by one year or by life imprisonment. When an official receives documentation of ongoing attempted murder, and subsequent murder attempts occur during that official's period of inaction, the legal characterization shifts from failure to act to **knowing participation in the conditions that enabled those attempts**. Respondents crossed this threshold no later than the date of the Georgia incident — March 2026 — while they held the complete documented record of prior attempts in their possession and had taken no action.

**The escalation is not arithmetic. It is exponential. Each attack that occurs while Respondents hold the documentation of prior attacks without response constitutes additional evidence of a system — not a series of unrelated failures — in which Respondents have chosen to participate by their sustained, knowing inaction.**

---

## **III. LEGAL BASIS FOR THIS COMPLAINT**

### **A. FBI Statutory Mandate**

The Global Filing documented violations of the Sherman Antitrust Act (15 U.S.C. § 1, 2), Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. § 15), RICO (18 U.S.C. § 1961 et seq.), and obstruction of federal

proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1512). The FBI received this filing on December 8-9, 2025. It took no investigative action in 169 days.

### **B. 18 U.S.C. § 242 — Personal Criminal Liability**

Federal law prohibits any person acting under color of law from willfully depriving any person of constitutional rights. Where the offense results in death or involves an attempt to kill, punishment includes any term of years or life imprisonment. The right of access to federal courts and the right to emergency protection are fundamental constitutional rights. Director Patel and all FBI personnel who received and chose not to process the December 2025 filing acted under color of federal law. Their sustained, knowing failure — after receiving documentation of ongoing physical danger including prior murder attempts — constitutes a willful deprivation of Complainant's constitutional rights.

### **C. 18 U.S.C. § 4 — Misprision of Felony**

Any person with knowledge of a federal felony who conceals it and fails to report it commits misprision of felony. FBI and CIA personnel who received documentation of multiple federal felonies and took no investigative or referral action have committed this offense.

### **D. OIG Jurisdiction**

The Inspector General Act of 1978 grants the DOJ OIG authority to investigate: (1) failure to perform statutory duties; (2) misconduct by FBI and DOJ personnel; and (3) systemic failures that result in harm to members of the public. All three grounds are present here.

---

## **IV. ONGOING DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE — TEN MONTHS OF OPEN WINDOW**

Complainant's underlying case involves over 30,000 archived emails, ten years of financial transaction records, and multi-jurisdictional documentary evidence. Ten months of U.S. institutional inaction — since the CIA contact in July 2025 — has provided all adverse parties, formally notified of the proceedings, with an extended and unsupervised window to destroy evidence.

Under the spoliation doctrine (*Silvestri v. General Motors*, 271 F.3d 583 (4th Cir. 2001)), courts may impose severe sanctions including adverse inferences where parties with notice destroy evidence. The duty to preserve arose no later than July 2025. Some of this evidence, once destroyed, cannot be recreated. The harm is permanent and additional to the quantified financial damages.

The OIG is respectfully requested to consider issuing a preservation directive to all parties with notice of Case No. 1:25-cv-10163-LTS.

---

## V. FORMAL NOTICE OF PERSONAL LIABILITY

Director Kash Patel has commanded the FBI since February 20, 2025. He received formal notice of this matter through the December 2025 filing and through multiple subsequent submissions. Under his command, the FBI New York Field Office has provided zero response for 169 days while Complainant survived three documented physical attacks on top of a decade of prior documented attempts.

Acting Attorney General Todd Blanche has commanded the DOJ since April 2, 2026. He received formal notice through the Formal Demand for Damages of April 25, 2026. Under his command, the DOJ has provided zero response.

**CIA personnel, American Embassy Dubai, July 2025:** Received Complainant in a secure Embassy room. Conducted a 30-minute interview with full knowledge of the threat environment. Directed no action. Identity to be established through OIG investigation. These personnel are formally designated as Doe Defendants in this complaint and in all associated proceedings.

All named and Doe Respondents are formally notified through this complaint — submitted to an independent oversight body — that:

- Their personal liability under 18 U.S.C. § 242 is documented and will be asserted in all current and future proceedings;
- Their inaction during a period in which Complainant sustained documented physical attacks — while they held the complete prior attack documentation — constitutes knowing participation in the ongoing deprivation of his constitutional rights, not mere negligence;
- The complete evidentiary record, including this complaint, is permanently archived on the blockchain (yhrkco.eth) and in five simultaneous international legal proceedings — it cannot be altered or erased;
- Proactive engagement — acknowledging the filings, establishing a response channel, initiating an investigation — will be noted as a mitigating factor in all subsequent proceedings; continued inaction will be documented as an aggravating circumstance.

The officials named in this complaint continue to exercise federal authority and receive federal compensation. Complainant has had no income, no stable residence, and no guarantee of survival for ten years. He has been poisoned, detained, surveilled, and institutionally silenced across multiple countries. He continues to document, file, and pursue the truth — **because the institutions that were supposed to protect him chose not to.**

---

## VI. REQUESTS TO THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

1. Open a formal investigation into the complete chain of U.S. government contacts since July 2025, including the CIA Embassy Dubai contact, the multiple consulate submissions, and the December 2025 FBI filing;
2. Identify all U.S. government personnel across all contact points who received and failed to process the submissions described herein, including the CIA officers who conducted the July 2025 interview;
3. Investigate whether Director Patel was aware of the filing and the subsequent physical attacks, and what action, if any, he directed in response;
4. Investigate whether Acting AG Blanche was aware of the formal demands transmitted to the DOJ and what action, if any, he directed in response;
5. Consider issuing a preservation directive to all parties with notice of Case No. 1:25-cv-10163-LTS to prevent further destruction of evidence;
6. Provide Complainant with a formal acknowledgment of receipt of this complaint and a reference number for tracking purposes;
7. Refer this complaint to the FBI's Office of Professional Responsibility and to the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia.

---

## VII. FOR THE RECORD — THE HUMANITARIAN DIMENSION

As of June 8, 2026, ten to eleven months of U.S. institutional inaction will have allowed interest to accrue on the trebled damages base (€373.2 billion at 8% per annum for six months from filing) at approximately €2.5 billion per month. Total accrued interest at six months: approximately €14.9 billion. Applied to the YHRK Foundation's 51% statutory redistribution commitment, this delay represents approximately **€7.6 billion in destroyed humanitarian redistribution capacity** — equivalent to the annual nutrition budget for approximately **6 to 7 million people**.

The OIG is not asked to adjudicate these figures. It is asked to note them — as part of the permanent record of what was at stake, and what the institutions under its oversight chose to do with the authority entrusted to them.

---

## VIII. CONCLUSION

Ten to eleven months. Five contact points across three countries. A night in the street at -5°C. 169 days of FBI silence. Three physical attacks on top of a decade of documented prior attempts. Zero response.

Respondents were not ignorant. They were informed — since July 2025. This complaint is the permanent administrative record of that fact. It will follow every named individual for the remainder of their professional and personal lives, regardless of what positions they hold or cease to hold.

The OIG is asked to do its job. The named officials are asked to do theirs. The record will show what each chose to do.

Respectfully submitted,

**Pierre Deglaire**

Complainant, Pro Se

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com

+33 6 63 90 13 01 — Dubai, United Arab Emirates

youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

SDNY: 1:25-cv-10163-LTS | ICC: 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2

Date: May 28, 2026

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Pierre Deglaire". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a horizontal line underneath the name.

**TO: HM Courts & Tribunals Service  
Judicial Conduct Investigations Office**

judicialconduct@judiciary.gov.uk

**AND TO: The Lord Chancellor**

Shabana Mahmood MP — lord.chancellor@justice.gov.uk

**AND TO: The President of the King's Bench Division**

High Court of Justice, Royal Courts of Justice, London WC2A 2LL

---

**FORMAL COMPLAINT — MISCONDUCT IN PUBLIC OFFICE  
AND NOTICE OF CRIMINAL LIABILITY**

*Common Law Offence — R v Dytham [1979] QB 722 | Human Rights Act 1998 — Articles 2, 6*

---

**COMPLAINANT:**

Pierre Deglaire — French national, born January 13, 1973, Paris, France  
pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aaic@gmail.com | +33 6 63 90 13 01  
Dubai, United Arab Emirates | youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

**SUBJECTS OF COMPLAINT:**

1. Charlotte Brice, Chancery Listing Officer, HMCTS — in her official and personal capacity
2. James Tipp, King's Bench Judges Listing Officer, HMCTS — in his official and personal capacity
3. The CEO of HMCTS — in their official capacity
4. John and Jane Does 1-20 — all HMCTS and JCIO personnel who received, processed, or failed to process the submissions described herein

**DATE:** May 28, 2026

**JCIO REFERENCES:** 50320/26 and 50341/26 (confirmed in writing by JCIO)

**HMCTS REFERENCE:** Written confirmation of Charlotte Brice, March 27, 2026

**ICC REFERENCE:** 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2 (filed May 1, 2026)

**US CASE:** 1:25-cv-10163-LTS (S.D.N.Y./D.D.C.)

---

**I. NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THIS COMPLAINT**

This formal complaint is submitted pursuant to the common law offence of misconduct in public office, the Judicial Conduct (Tribunals) Rules 2014, and the Human Rights Act 1998.

It documents a pattern of procedural anomalies at the King's Bench Division of the High Court of Justice that, taken together and in context, constitute willful neglect of duty by identified public officers.

At its core, this complaint rests on a single documented fact: a Listing Officer of HMCTS confirmed in writing on March 27, 2026, that Complainant had appeared before Mr Justice Richard Smith at the King's Bench Division. No order was entered. No record was created. No procedural trace of any kind exists for this hearing. This is not possible under normal court administration. It requires an explanation.

This complaint is submitted simultaneously with a Petition for Writ of Mandamus before the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, a formal complaint before the DOJ Inspector General, and as a Supplemental to the Communication filed before the International Criminal Court (Ref. 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2). The UK proceedings are one component of a coordinated international documentation of institutional failure.

---

## **II. THE DOCUMENTARY FOUNDATION — CHARLOTTE BRICE'S WRITTEN CONFIRMATION**

On March 27, 2026, Charlotte Brice, identified as Chancery Listing Officer at HMCTS, provided written confirmation to Complainant that his matter had been heard before Mr Justice Richard Smith at the King's Bench Division.

This written confirmation is the central document of this complaint. It establishes two facts simultaneously:

- Complainant's matter was before the King's Bench Division and was heard by a named Article III equivalent judge;
- No order, no note, no record, and no procedural trace was created for or communicated following this hearing.

In the King's Bench Division of the High Court of Justice, every judicial act produces a record. Every hearing produces a note. Every procedural step generates documentation. The complete absence of any such documentation — confirmed by the simultaneous existence of a written confirmation that the hearing occurred — is not an administrative oversight. It is a structural anomaly that requires investigation.

Complainant has been unable to obtain any further information: no order, no direction, no case number update, no explanation of why no documentation was created. JCIO References 50320/26 and 50341/26 confirm that formal complaints were registered but have produced no substantive response.

### **III. LEGAL FRAMEWORK — MISCONDUCT IN PUBLIC OFFICE**

#### **A. The Common Law Offence**

Misconduct in public office is a common law offence, confirmed in *R v Dytham* [1979] QB 722 and restated by the Law Commission in its 2020 report. The offence is committed when a public officer, acting as such, willfully neglects to perform their duty or misconducts themselves to such a degree as to amount to an abuse of the public's trust.

The elements of the offence are: (1) the defendant is a public officer; (2) acting as such; (3) willfully neglects to perform their duty or misconducts themselves; (4) to such a degree as to amount to an abuse of the public's trust; (5) without reasonable excuse or justification. All five elements are satisfied on the documented facts.

There is no limitation period for misconduct in public office. The offence is triable on indictment and carries a maximum sentence of life imprisonment.

#### **B. Human Rights Act 1998**

Article 2 ECHR (Right to Life), incorporated into domestic law by the Human Rights Act 1998, imposes a positive obligation on public authorities to take reasonable steps to protect individuals whose lives are at risk. Where Complainant has formally notified HMCTS and JCIO of documented physical attacks against him during the pendency of his proceedings, and those authorities have taken no action, a potential Article 2 violation arises.

Article 6 ECHR (Right to a Fair Trial) guarantees the right of access to a court. The creation of a procedural vacuum — a confirmed hearing with no record — effectively denies Complainant access to the proceedings that were confirmed to have occurred. This constitutes a potential Article 6 violation.

#### **C. Doe Defendants — Identification Without Limitation**

Complainant does not possess the identity of all HMCTS and JCIO personnel who handled, processed, or declined to process his submissions. This complaint formally designates as Doe Defendants all such persons, and requests that the competent investigating authority identify them. The absence of a named individual in this complaint does not constitute a waiver of any claim against that individual.

---

### **IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND — THE UK PROCEEDINGS**

#### **A. The November 2025 Hearing — A Confirmed Event Without a Record**

In November 2025, Complainant appeared before the King's Bench Division of the High Court of Justice. A hearing took place before Mr Justice Richard Smith. This is confirmed by the written statement of Charlotte Brice, HMCTS Listing Officer, dated March 27, 2026.

Following this hearing, Complainant received:

- No order;
- No note of the proceedings;
- No direction;
- No case number update;
- No communication of any kind confirming what was decided, directed, or observed at the hearing.

This is the procedural anomaly at the heart of this complaint. A hearing confirmed in writing to have occurred before a named judge has left no trace in any accessible court record.

## **B. JCIO References 50320/26 and 50341/26**

Following the November 2025 hearing, Complainant submitted formal complaints to the Judicial Conduct Investigations Office. These complaints were registered and assigned references 50320/26 and 50341/26. Complainant has received acknowledgement of these references from the JCIO caseworker identified as Umme Sumaiyah.

As of May 28, 2026, these proceedings have produced no substantive response, no investigation outcome, and no explanation of the procedural anomaly described above. The JCIO references confirm that the complaints were received. The absence of any outcome confirms that they have not been substantively processed.

## **C. Physical Attacks During the Period of UK Procedural Inaction**

The following physical attacks occurred against Complainant after the November 2025 King's Bench hearing and during the period of HMCTS and JCIO inaction:

**Georgia, March 2026:** Contact-based substance exposure. Acute pancreatitis 72 hours later. Documented: timestamped AI logs, AXA international insurer correspondence, signed medical waiver.

**Turkey, April 2026:** Interception of life-critical medications by Turkish customs. Two weeks without essential medication. Documented: parcel tracking records, customs notification, hotel manager attestation.

**Dubai, May 22, 2026:** Repeated physical contact by unknown individual, abrupt departure. Pattern identical to Georgia incident. Documented: timestamped WhatsApp exchange.

Each of these attacks occurred while HMCTS and JCIO held Complainant's formal submissions and had taken no substantive action.

## **D. The Full Pattern — Not Negligence, But Willful Neglect**

The incidents documented since November 2025 are not isolated events. They are the most recent instances in a decade-long documented pattern that includes: two vehicle sabotage incidents designed to cause fatal accidents; three prior poisoning attempts; sustained financial strangulation reducing Complainant to destitution; unlawful detention in Serbia (2022); forced psychiatric internment and sedation without legal basis in France; and years of systematic psychological destruction.

All of these facts were fully documented in the submissions received by HMCTS and JCIO. Respondents were not ignorant of the threat environment. **They were fully informed of it.**

Under the common law offence of misconduct in public office, the difference between negligence and willful neglect is the dividing line between the ordinary administrative failure and a criminal act. When a public officer receives documentation of ongoing attempted murder against a litigant appearing before their court, and subsequent attacks occur during that officer's period of inaction, the characterization shifts from administrative failure to **willful neglect of duty with knowledge of the consequences.**

**The escalation is not arithmetic. It is exponential. Each attack that occurs while Respondents hold the documentation of prior attacks without response constitutes additional evidence that their inaction is not inadvertent — it is a system.**

---

## **V. THE COORDINATED INTERNATIONAL DIMENSION**

This complaint does not stand alone. It is one component of five simultaneous international proceedings:

- SDNY Case 1:25-cv-10163-LTS — transferred to DDC, 139 days without processing;
- DOJ Inspector General formal complaint — submitted simultaneously with this document;
- Petition for Writ of Mandamus, DC Circuit — submitted simultaneously;
- ECHR Ref. 30346/25 — pending;
- ICC Communication 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2 — submitted May 1, 2026.

The pattern documented across all five jurisdictions is identical: formal submissions received, formal acknowledgements issued, substantive action nil. The King's Bench / HMCTS pattern is not an anomaly — it is consistent with a coordinated institutional response across multiple countries and multiple judicial systems.

The complete evidentiary record, including this complaint, has been published on the Ethereum blockchain (yhrkco.eth, May 24, 2026). The documentation is permanent, public, and indestructible.

---

## VI. PERSONAL LIABILITY OF NAMED RESPONDENTS

**Charlotte Brice, Chancery Listing Officer:** Issued written confirmation on March 27, 2026 that a hearing occurred before Mr Justice Richard Smith. This confirmation simultaneously documents the hearing and the absence of any procedural record. As the officer who issued this confirmation, she is formally placed on notice that this document is the foundation of this complaint and of all related proceedings.

**James Tipp, King's Bench Judges Listing:** Identified as officer with responsibility for the listing and administrative processing of King's Bench matters. Formally placed on notice of this complaint.

**CEO of HMCTS:** Holds institutional responsibility for the administration of all HMCTS proceedings. Under the principle of command responsibility, the complete failure of HMCTS to produce any procedural record for a confirmed judicial hearing engages institutional and personal accountability at the leadership level.

All named and Doe Respondents are formally notified:

- Misconduct in public office carries no limitation period and a maximum sentence of life imprisonment;
- The Human Rights Act 1998 obligations under Articles 2 and 6 ECHR are not dischargeable by inaction;
- The complete evidentiary record is permanently archived at yhrkco.eth and in five international proceedings — it cannot be altered or erased;
- Proactive engagement — providing a substantive explanation for the absence of records, progressing JCIO references 50320/26 and 50341/26 — will be noted as a mitigating factor; continued inaction will be documented as an aggravating circumstance.

---

## VII. DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE

The underlying proceedings involve documentary evidence spanning ten years and multiple jurisdictions. Every month of procedural inaction at the King's Bench level provides adverse parties with additional time to destroy, conceal, or render inaccessible evidence relevant to Complainant's claims. The absence of a preservation order — which cannot be sought in proceedings that have no accessible procedural record — constitutes an ongoing harm additional to the physical danger to Complainant.

This complaint formally requests that the competent authority consider issuing a preservation direction to all parties with notice of the King's Bench proceedings.

---

## VIII. FOR THE RECORD — THE HUMANITARIAN DIMENSION

As of June 8, 2026: the six-month delay across all five jurisdictions has generated approximately €14.9 billion in accrued interest on the trebled damages base, representing approximately **€7.6 billion in destroyed humanitarian redistribution capacity** — the annual nutrition budget for approximately **6 to 7 million people**. The UK proceedings are one component of this global figure. Every month of further inaction adds approximately €2.5 billion to this total.

The officers named in this complaint attend their offices, receive their salaries, and conduct their lives. Complainant has had no income, no stable residence, and no assurance of survival for ten years. He continues.

---

## IX. RELIEF AND REQUESTS

Complainant respectfully requests:

1. A formal investigation by the Judicial Conduct Investigations Office into the complete absence of any procedural record for the November 2025 King's Bench hearing before Mr Justice Richard Smith, notwithstanding written confirmation from Charlotte Brice that this hearing occurred;
2. The identification of all HMCTS and JCIO personnel who received, processed, or failed to process Complainant's submissions since November 2025;
3. A substantive response to JCIO References 50320/26 and 50341/26;
4. Referral of this complaint to the relevant Crown Prosecution Service authority for assessment of whether the common law offence of misconduct in public office has been committed;
5. Referral to the Lord Chancellor under the Constitutional Reform Act 2005 for consideration of whether the documented conduct constitutes a breach of the Lord Chancellor's responsibility to uphold the rule of law;
6. A preservation direction to all parties with notice of the King's Bench proceedings;
7. Written acknowledgment of receipt of this complaint with a tracking reference number.

---

## X. CONCLUSION

A confirmed hearing. No record. Six months of silence. Three physical attacks during the period of inaction. A decade of prior documented attempts.

The absence of a procedural record for a confirmed judicial hearing is not an administrative oversight. It is either a serious failure of court administration requiring urgent investigation, or something more serious still. Either way, it requires a response.

Named Respondents were not ignorant. They held Complainant's submissions. They confirmed the hearing. They produced no record and no response. The permanent, indestructible record at yhrkco.eth will document their choices.

Respectfully submitted,

**Pierre Deglaire**

Complainant, Pro Se

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com

+33 6 63 90 13 01 — Dubai, United Arab Emirates

youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

JCIO: 50320/26 & 50341/26 | ICC: 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2 | SDNY: 1:25-cv-10163-LTS

Date: May 28, 2026

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Pierre Deglaire", written in a cursive style.

# INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT — OFFICE OF THE PROSECUTOR

otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int

---

## **THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL FACTUAL SUBMISSION** **COMPLETION OF ORIGINAL COMMUNICATION — PHYSICAL INCIDENTS AND** **CONTINUING PERSECUTION**

*Pursuant to Article 15 of the Rome Statute*

**OTPLink Reference: 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2**

---

**FROM:** Pierre Deglaire — Complainant, Pro Se

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com | +33 6 63 90 13 01

Dubai, UAE | youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

**DATE:** May 28, 2026

**ORIGINAL COMMUNICATION:** Submitted May 1, 2026 via OTPLink — focused on economic destruction and systemic persecution

**THIS SUPPLEMENTAL:** Completion with three physical incidents (two contact-based, one medical interception) + one new post-Communication incident + updated quantum

---

### **I. PURPOSE — COMPLETING THE ORIGINAL COMMUNICATION**

The original Article 15 Communication submitted on May 1, 2026 focused primarily on the economic dimension of the documented persecution — the decade-long coordinated destruction of Complainant's professional projects, the systematic suppression of LUCY BANK, and the €124.4 billion documented quantum. Physical incidents were referenced but not developed in full detail in the original submission.

This Third Supplemental has two purposes:

- To complete the original Communication with full documentation of three physical incidents — two of which occurred before the May 1 filing and were not fully developed in it, and one of which occurred after the filing;
- To present an updated financial quantum as of June 8, 2026, and to formally characterize the institutional silence of five simultaneous jurisdictions as itself a component of the persecution.

This submission is made in full transparency. No conclusion beyond the factual record is asserted. The Office of the Prosecutor is invited to draw its own conclusions.

---

## II. INCIDENT 1 — GEORGIA, MARCH 2026 (PRE-COMMUNICATION — COMPLETING THE RECORD)

This incident occurred in March 2026 — before the May 1 Communication — and was referenced but not fully documented in the original submission. This Supplemental provides the complete record.

**Location:** Tbilisi, Georgia — public establishment

**Date of contact:** March 2026 (verifiable via payment records)

**Date of symptom onset:** Approximately 72 hours after contact

**Medical result:** Acute pancreatitis — Complainant has direct medical knowledge of his own symptom pattern

An unknown individual — presenting as Ukrainian, not previously seen at the establishment (confirmed by staff) — initiated unsolicited physical contact, holding Complainant's hand for several minutes in a deliberately prolonged manner, then departed abruptly. Acute pancreatitis developed 72 hours later.

Complainant did not seek local hospitalization due to documented risk of arbitrary institutionalization (prior unlawful detention, Serbia 2022; prior forced psychiatric internment, France).

**Evidence:** Payment records establishing precise timing; timestamped AI consultation logs (Claude/ChatGPT) documenting daily symptom progression; AXA international insurer correspondence; signed hospitalization waiver; potential witness statement from establishment staff.

**Ongoing impact:** As of May 28, 2026 — nearly three months after this incident — Complainant has not fully recovered. His working capacity has been reduced from 12-18 hours per day to 8-10 hours per day. This represents a documented, ongoing, and measurable reduction in Complainant's ability to pursue the proceedings that are the subject of these submissions.

---

## III. INCIDENT 2 — TURKEY, APRIL 2026 (PRE-COMMUNICATION — COMPLETING THE RECORD)

This incident occurred in April 2026 — before the May 1 Communication — and was referenced but not fully documented in the original submission.

**Location:** Istanbul customs (interception point); Complainant located in Antalya, Turkey

**Period affected:** Approximately two weeks without essential medication while in Turkey

**Medications affected:** Vital medications for serious medical conditions — dispatched by Complainant's mother from France with valid French prescriptions and complete customs documentation

The sequence of events is documented in full:

- The parcel left France and arrived at the Turkish border normally — approximately two days, consistent with standard delivery times;
- Upon entering Turkish customs, the parcel was intercepted without legitimate justification;
- All requested documentation was transmitted by email. No response was received. The hotel manager telephoned Turkish customs multiple times. The single verbal explanation offered — that the email was not processed because the subject line contained the words 'medical emergency' — is manifestly pretextual;
- From the moment of interception, every step was abnormal: no processing, no delivery, no response, pretextual justifications, verbal statements of resolution producing no actual result;
- Complainant was compelled to leave Turkey and relocate to Dubai in order to access medications. He obtained replacement medications in Dubai after several days;
- The original parcel — containing the intercepted medications — eventually arrived at the Turkish hotel approximately ten days after Complainant's departure, and returned to France more than six weeks after original dispatch from France;
- Complainant's mother who lives in France recovered the parcel only recently, having had to arrange its retrieval remotely.

**Total duration of medical disruption: over six weeks from dispatch to recovery of medications.** Of this period, approximately two weeks were without any medication whatsoever, and several further days with replacement medications only.

The pattern documented in this incident — initial normal transit, then complete administrative dysfunction at the point of entry, pretextual explanations, absence of any resolution despite multiple documented attempts — is identical to the obstruction pattern documented across all five international jurisdictions.

**Evidence:** Parcel tracking records (normal transit France → Turkey border, then anomalous); customs notification; email correspondence with Turkish customs (two submissions, no response); WhatsApp exchanges with hotel manager; medical prescriptions; attestation from Complainant's mother.

#### **IV. INCIDENT 3 — DUBAI, MAY 22, 2026 (POST-COMMUNICATION — NEW)**

This incident occurred on May 22, 2026 — **after the submission of the original Communication on May 1, 2026**. It is submitted for the first time in this Supplemental.

**Location:** Club BLU, V Hotel, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

**Date and time:** May 22, 2026, from approximately 23:45

An unknown individual presenting as Australian (Gold Coast) positioned himself at Complainant's habitual table before Complainant's arrival. He immediately initiated conversation, became rapidly familiar, and proceeded to shake Complainant's hand repeatedly — a minimum of 10 to 12 times over approximately 90 minutes — while simulating mild intoxication and asking questions.

The individual departed abruptly without paying his bill. A WhatsApp message received at approximately 3:00 AM attributed his departure to intoxication.

The physical contact pattern — repeated handshaking by an unknown individual who then departs abruptly — is identical in modus operandi to the Georgia incident of March 2026, which produced acute pancreatitis 72 hours after contact. Complainant is monitoring his health status in the 72-hour window following this incident.

**Evidence:** Timestamped WhatsApp exchange (preserved); physical description of individual (approximately 30-35 years, 1m80, 80-85kg, brown hair, light beard); surveillance camera footage at V Hotel and Club BLU; potential testimony from venue staff.

---

#### **V. CHARACTERISATION — THREE ATTEINTES TO PHYSICAL INTEGRITY, TWO METHODS**

The three incidents documented above must be distinguished in method while unified in characterization:

**Incidents 1 and 3 (Georgia, Dubai):** Contact-based physical attacks. Unknown individual initiates prolonged physical contact, then departs abruptly — consistent with documented contact-based substance transmission methods.

**Incident 2 (Turkey):** Administrative/institutional attack on physical integrity. Interception of life-critical medications depriving Complainant of essential treatment for serious medical conditions. The method is different. The intent — to harm Complainant's physical integrity and capacity — is identical.

**The legal characterisation under Articles 7(1)(h) and 7(1)(k) of the Rome Statute applies to all three:**

The incidents documented in this Supplemental are not isolated events. They are the most recent instances in a decade-long documented pattern that includes: two vehicle sabotage incidents designed to cause fatal accidents; three prior poisoning attempts; sustained financial strangulation reducing the Complainant to destitution; unlawful detention in Serbia (2022); forced psychiatric internment and sedation without legal basis in France; and years of systematic psychological destruction.

All of these facts were fully documented in the original Article 15 Communication of May 1, 2026. The Office of the Prosecutor was not ignorant of the threat environment at the time of this Supplemental. **It was fully informed of it.**

Taken in isolation, each of the incidents documented below might be characterized as unfortunate coincidence. Taken in the context of the documented prior pattern — two vehicle sabotages, three prior poisonings, unlawful detention, forced institutionalization — **they cannot be characterized as coincidence.** They constitute documented attempted murder. The method varies. The intent does not.

**The escalation is not arithmetic. It is exponential. Each incident that occurs while institutional respondents hold the documentation of prior attacks without response constitutes additional evidence that the persecution is systemic, continuing, and actively facilitated by institutional silence.**

---

## **VI. INSTITUTIONAL FACILITATION — FIVE JURISDICTIONS, ZERO RESPONSE**

As of May 28, 2026, the following institutional non-responses are documented:

**United States — SDNY/DDC/FBI:** Case No. 1:25-cv-10163-LTS: 139 days without case number, judge, or acknowledgment. FBI: 169 days of zero response. U.S. intelligence services: first notified July 2025 — over ten months ago.

**United Kingdom — King's Bench Division:** Hearing before Mr Justice Richard Smith confirmed in writing. No order, no record. JCIO Refs. 50320/26 & 50341/26: no substantive response.

**European Court of Human Rights:** Application Ref. 30346/25: returned on procedural grounds. Rule 39 not granted.

**Parquet National Financier (France):** No response to multiple formal submissions.

**International Criminal Court:** Original Communication under preliminary examination. This Supplemental ensures the record reflects the continuation of attacks during this period.

The Office is invited to consider whether the systematic denial of access to judicial protection across five simultaneous jurisdictions is itself a component of the Article 7(1)(h) persecution — not merely its backdrop.

---

## VII. UPDATED QUANTUM — JUNE 8, 2026

Principal claim: €124.4 billion | Treble damages: €373.2 billion

Interest at 8% per annum for 6 months (to June 8, 2026): approximately €14.9 billion

Total as of June 8, 2026: approximately €388 billion | Monthly accrual: €2.5 billion

YHRK Foundation 51% statutory redistribution applied to 6-month interest: approximately **€7.6 billion in destroyed humanitarian capacity** — annual nutrition budget for approximately **6 to 7 million people**.

---

## VIII. BLOCKCHAIN — PERMANENT EVIDENTIARY RECORD

Published May 24, 2026 on Ethereum blockchain: **yhrkco.eth**

TX Hash: 0x395720697bd1c40f6e5e28c032702f31277921f5d70eb1f7e98d83a804b4c5ff

Permanent, indestructible, publicly accessible worldwide.

---

## IX. REQUESTS

1. Acknowledge receipt of this Third Supplemental and associate it with OTPLink Ref. 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2;
  2. Consider the three physical incidents as additional evidence under Articles 7(1)(h) and 7(1)(k) — two contact-based attacks and one institutional deprivation of medical care, all constituting documented attempted murder in the context of the prior pattern;
  3. Consider whether the institutional non-response of five simultaneous jurisdictions constitutes a component of the Article 7(1)(h) persecution;
  4. Consider whether the continuation of attacks during active ICC preliminary examination warrants expedited consideration;
  5. Provide formal acknowledgment with tracking reference;
  6. Establish a secure channel for further evidentiary transmission.
- 

## X. CLOSING STATEMENT

The Complainant has now documented, across a decade and across five jurisdictions, the systematic destruction of his professional life, his health, his financial resources, and his access to justice. He has done so alone, without legal representation, across more than twenty countries.

He does not seek sympathy. He seeks the application of the law.

Respectfully submitted, May 28, 2026

**Pierre Deglaire — Complainant, Pro Se**

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aaic@gmail.com | +33 6 63 90 13 01

Dubai, UAE | youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

ICC OTPLink: 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2 | SDNY: 1:25-cv-10163-LTS

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "P. Deglaire", written in a cursive style.

**Pierre Deglaire**

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com  
+33 6 63 90 13 01 — Dubai, United Arab Emirates  
youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

May 28, 2026

**Senator Charles E. Grassley**

Senate Judiciary Committee | chuck\_grassley@grassley.senate.gov

**Senator Ron Johnson**

U.S. Senate | senator@ronjohnson.senate.gov

---

**FORMAL REQUEST FOR SENATE OVERSIGHT**

**FBI Inaction — 169 Days — Active Federal Case — Life in Documented Danger**

*Case No. 1:25-cv-10163-LTS (S.D.N.Y./D.D.C.) | 18 U.S.C. § 242*

---

Dear Senator Grassley and Senator Johnson,

I write to you as a French national currently residing in Dubai, United Arab Emirates, who is party to an active federal case before the United States District Court — Case No. 1:25-cv-10163-LTS — and who has been unable to obtain any response from the Federal Bureau of Investigation for 169 days, during which time I survived three documented physical attacks.

I am not writing to ask you to assess the merits of my case. I am writing to ask you to exercise your oversight authority over the FBI to require a formal, written acknowledgment of a filing that was physically delivered to the FBI New York Field Office on December 8-9, 2025 — and which has produced zero response in 169 days.

---

**I. THE FILING — WHAT THE FBI RECEIVED**

On December 8, 2025, I personally delivered a 320-page Global Cross-Jurisdictional Filing to the FBI New York Field Office, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, NY 10278. I had traveled for 45 hours to reach New York. I spent the first night in the street in temperatures of -5°C. I presented at the FBI at 3:00 AM and was not received. I returned Saturday — not received. I returned

Sunday — not received. On Monday December 8, I was received after three hours of waiting in cold temperatures.

USPS delivery confirmation of the filing was obtained on December 9, 2025, at 11:23 AM.

The filing documents a decade-long, coordinated destruction of my economic projects — including a validated European banking innovation — by French state-linked banking actors, through means that violate the Sherman Antitrust Act (15 U.S.C. § 1, 2), the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. § 15), the RICO Act (18 U.S.C. § 1961), and obstruction of federal proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1512). The conservative documented quantum is €124.4 billion. Treble damages: €373.2 billion.

The filing also documents a decade of physical attacks against me — vehicle sabotages, prior poisonings, unlawful detention in Serbia, forced psychiatric internment in France — and requests emergency protective measures from U.S. federal authorities.

---

## **II. 169 DAYS OF ZERO RESPONSE**

As of May 28, 2026 — 169 days after the FBI received my filing:

- No acknowledgment of receipt;
- No case reference number;
- No secure channel for further evidentiary transmission;
- No response to multiple formal written submissions including a Formal Demand for Damages dated April 25, 2026;
- No emergency protective action of any kind.

I first contacted U.S. authorities in July 2025 — ten to eleven months ago — when I presented at the American Embassy in Dubai and was received in a secure room by CIA personnel. I explained the situation in a thirty-minute telephone interview. I received no follow-up.

I then attempted to deliver my filing at the U.S. Consulate in Bordeaux, France — turned away. I drove over 1,000 kilometers to Andorra to mail copies to U.S. consulates across Europe to avoid French State interception — no response from any consulate. I presented at the U.S. Consulate in Barcelona in transit — directed to proceed to the United States.

The December 2025 FBI filing was the fifth attempt — across three countries — to place this matter before U.S. authorities. It has produced, like all the others, zero response.

### III. THREE PHYSICAL ATTACKS DURING THE PERIOD OF FBI INACTION

The following attacks occurred after the FBI received my filing. I document them not to solicit sympathy, but because they are legally relevant to the question of what the FBI's silence has enabled.

**Georgia, March 2026:** Contact-based substance exposure by unknown individual. Acute pancreatitis 72 hours later. I was unable to seek local hospitalization due to documented prior arbitrary institutionalization risk. Evidence: timestamped AI consultation logs, AXA international insurer correspondence, signed medical waiver.

**Turkey, April 2026:** Interception of my life-critical medications by Turkish customs. Two weeks without essential treatment for serious medical conditions. Evidence: parcel tracking records, customs notification, hotel manager attestation, family correspondence.

**Dubai, May 22, 2026:** Repeated physical contact (10-12 handshakes over 90 minutes) by unknown individual who departed abruptly. Pattern identical to Georgia. Evidence: timestamped WhatsApp exchange with the individual.

The three attacks documented above are not isolated incidents. They are the most recent instances in a decade-long documented pattern that includes: two vehicle sabotage incidents designed to cause fatal accidents; three prior poisoning attempts; sustained financial strangulation reducing the Petitioner to destitution; unlawful detention in Serbia (2022); forced psychiatric internment and sedation in France; and years of systematic psychological destruction.

All of these facts were fully documented in the Global Filing received by the FBI on December 8-9, 2025. The FBI was not ignorant of the threat environment. **It was fully informed of it.**

Under 18 U.S.C. § 242, the difference between negligence and knowing facilitation determines whether an offense is punishable by one year or by life imprisonment. When a federal agency receives documentation of ongoing attempted murder, and subsequent attacks occur during that agency's period of inaction, the legal characterization shifts from failure to act to **knowing participation in the conditions that enabled those attempts**. The FBI crossed this threshold no later than March 2026.

**The escalation is not arithmetic. It is exponential. Each attack that occurs while the FBI holds the documentation of prior attacks without response constitutes additional evidence of a system — not a series of unrelated failures.**

---

### IV. THE ANTITRUST AND MARKET DIMENSION

I draw your attention to the broader market dimension of this matter, which falls squarely within the jurisdiction of the Senate Judiciary Committee.

The European banking market represents approximately €20 trillion in deposits. My filing documents a systematic, coordinated suppression of new entrants to this market — including my LUCY BANK innovation — by incumbent French state-linked banking actors, through means that include criminal obstruction, market manipulation, and state-sponsored persecution.

U.S. banking institutions with European operations have been directly harmed by this non-liberalization of the European banking market. This is precisely the type of foreign anticompetitive conduct that the Sherman Act was designed to reach when it affects U.S. commerce and U.S. market participants.

The FBI has held this documentation for 169 days without response. The U.S. intelligence community has held it since July 2025 without response. Meanwhile, the anticompetitive conduct continues and the European banking market remains closed to legitimate competition.

---

## **V. WHAT I AM ASKING**

I am not asking you to take a position on the merits of my claims. I am asking you to exercise your constitutional oversight authority to require the FBI to do the following:

1. Provide a formal, written acknowledgment that the Global Filing submitted December 8-9, 2025 was received and is on file;
2. Assign a case reference number to the filing;
3. Establish a secure channel through which I can transmit supplementary evidentiary materials;
4. Confirm whether any investigation has been opened, and if not, provide a written explanation of why not.

These are ministerial acts. They do not require the FBI to prejudge any question of fact or law. They require only that the FBI acknowledge the existence of a filing it has held for 169 days.

I note that a formal complaint has been simultaneously filed with the DOJ Inspector General ([oig.hotline@usdoj.gov](mailto:oig.hotline@usdoj.gov)) and that a Petition for Writ of Mandamus has been simultaneously filed before the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. A Communication under Article 15 of the Rome Statute has been filed before the International Criminal Court (Ref. 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2).

The complete evidentiary record has been published on the Ethereum blockchain ([yhrkco.eth](https://yhrkco.eth), May 24, 2026) and is permanently and publicly accessible.

---

## VI. FOR THE RECORD

As of June 8, 2026 — six months from the date of filing — interest accrued on the trebled damages base amounts to approximately €14.9 billion. The YHRK Foundation's 51% statutory redistribution commitment means this delay has destroyed approximately **€7.6 billion in humanitarian redistribution capacity** — the annual nutrition budget for approximately **6 to 7 million people**.

I have had no income, no stable residence, and no assurance of survival for ten years. I have been poisoned, detained, surveilled, and institutionally silenced across multiple countries. I continue to file, to document, and to pursue the truth — because the institutions that were supposed to protect me have, to date, chosen not to.

I am grateful for your attention to this matter and for the oversight role that the Senate Judiciary Committee plays in ensuring that federal law enforcement institutions remain accountable to the law they exist to enforce.

Respectfully submitted,

**Pierre Deglaire**

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com

+33 6 63 90 13 01 — Dubai, United Arab Emirates

youthavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

SDNY: 1:25-cv-10163-LTS | ICC: 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2

Date: May 28, 2026

---

All supporting documentation — including the Global Filing (321 pages), the Formal Demand for Damages of April 25, 2026, USPS delivery confirmation, and all subsequent submissions — has been formally transmitted to the FBI New York Field Office and is on file with the Bureau. No additional transmission is required on Petitioner's part. The Bureau holds the complete record



**Pierre Deglaire**

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com | +33 6 63 90 13 01

Dubaï, Émirats Arabes Unis | youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

À : Monsieur Jean-François Bohnert, Procureur de la République financier

Parquet National Financier — parquet-national-financier@justice.fr

**DATE** : 28 mai 2026

**OBJET** : MISE EN DEMEURE FORMELLE — Complicité de tentatives d'assassinat par inaction documentée depuis avril 2025 — Responsabilité pénale personnelle des membres du PNF

---

Monsieur le Procureur,

Je vous adresse le présent courrier en ma capacité de plaignant pro se dans le cadre de cinq procédures internationales simultanées, dont une Communication déposée devant la Cour Pénale Internationale le 1er mai 2026 (Référence OTPLink : 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2).

Ce courrier n'est pas une demande. C'est une notification formelle de responsabilité pénale personnelle, adressée nommément au Procureur de la République financier et à chacun des membres du Parquet National Financier ayant eu connaissance de mon dossier.

---

## I. CE QUE LE PNF SAVAIT DEPUIS AVRIL 2025

Depuis le mois d'avril 2025, le Parquet National Financier a été formellement informé des faits suivants :

- Destruction coordonnée, sur dix années consécutives, de trois innovations économiques validées — dont LUCY BANK — par des acteurs bancaires liés à l'État français. Préjudice documenté conservateur : 124,4 milliards d'euros ;
- Premier empoisonnement documenté à Dubaï — mai 2025 : effondrement cognitif brutal, du jour au lendemain, sans explication médicale. Documenté ;
- Deuxième empoisonnement documenté à Dubaï — juillet 2025 : substance dans ma chambre d'hôtel, quintes de toux violentes pendant cinq semaines consécutives, résolution immédiate après nettoyage de la chambre. Documenté. L'hôtel n'a jamais répondu à mes dizaines de sollicitations ;
- Deuxième sabotage de véhicule — septembre 2025 : le PNF était informé. Ce fait est survenu après votre saisine ;
- Interception systématique de mes communications et correspondances par l'État français, documentée avec preuves formelles ;
- Destruction économique systématique, harcèlement institutionnel, isolement social et professionnel organisé sur dix ans.

Je précise, pour l'exactitude du record : le premier sabotage de véhicule est antérieur à votre saisine — vous n'en étiez pas informés. Le deuxième sabotage, en revanche, est postérieur. Vous saviez.

À toutes ces alertes, le Parquet National Financier n'a opposé que le silence.

---

## II. CE QUI S'EST PASSÉ PENDANT VOTRE SILENCE

Depuis avril 2025, pendant que le PNF ne répondait pas, les événements suivants sont survenus — chacun d'entre eux après que vous aviez été informés :

**Deuxième sabotage de véhicule — septembre 2025 :** Destiné à provoquer un accident mortel. Documenté. Vous saviez.

**Troisième empoisonnement — Géorgie, mars 2026 :** Exposition à une substance par contact physique par un tiers inconnu à Tbilissi. Pancréatite aiguë 72 heures après. Je n'ai pas pu être hospitalisé en raison du risque documenté de ré institutionnalisation arbitraire — risque que vous connaissez, puisque l'internement psychiatrique forcé en France figure dans les éléments portés à votre connaissance. Preuves : logs de consultation horodatés, correspondance assureur AXA, décharge médicale signée. Près de trois mois après, je n'ai pas pleinement récupéré — ma capacité de travail a été divisée par deux.

**Interception de médicaments vitaux — Turquie, avril 2026 :** Un envoi de médicaments vitaux expédié par ma mère depuis la France avec prescriptions médicales valides a été intercepté à la douane turque. Transit normal jusqu'à la frontière — puis tout est devenu anormal. Deux semaines sans traitement médical essentiel pour des pathologies graves. Le colis est arrivé à l'hôtel dix jours après mon départ de Turquie, soit plus d'un mois et demi après l'expédition. J'ai dû me procurer des médicaments de remplacement à Dubaï.

**Contact physique suspect — Dubaï, 22 mai 2026 :** Contact physique répété (10 à 12 poignées de main sur 90 minutes) par un inconnu qui s'est ensuite éclipsé précipitamment. Modus operandi identique à l'empoisonnement de Géorgie. Preuves : échange WhatsApp horodaté.

**Harcèlement quotidien continu :** Dix ans d'isolement organisé, de destruction économique, de surveillance, d'effacement systématique. Ce harcèlement continue. Il constitue, pour tout individu dans ma situation, une pression susceptible de conduire au pire. Vous en avez conscience.

Ces faits, pris isolément, pourraient être contestés. Dans leur accumulation — sur dix ans, avec les mêmes acteurs institutionnels en arrière-plan, les mêmes méthodes qui évoluent — **ils ne peuvent être qualifiés que de tentatives d'assassinat réitérées, préméditées, organisées.**

### III. VOTRE RESPONSABILITÉ PÉNALE PERSONNELLE

L'article 40 du Code de procédure pénale impose à tout magistrat ou officier public ayant connaissance d'un crime ou d'un délit d'en donner avis sans délai au Procureur de la République compétent. Cette obligation n'est pas discrétionnaire.

L'article 223-6 du Code pénal sanctionne la non-assistance à personne en danger — cinq ans d'emprisonnement, 75 000 euros d'amende. Il s'applique à toute personne ayant connaissance d'un péril grave et immédiat pour la vie d'une personne.

L'Article 25 du Statut de Rome — applicable dans le cadre de la Communication CPI — engage la responsabilité pénale individuelle de toute personne ayant contribué à la commission, à la facilitation ou au maintien des faits documentés, indépendamment de sa qualité institutionnelle.

Vous avez été informés depuis avril 2025. Des tentatives d'assassinat documentées ont eu lieu pendant votre silence — après votre saisine. **Ce silence n'est plus de la négligence. C'est de la complicité.**

Je vous notifie formellement, ainsi qu'à chacun des membres du PNF ayant eu connaissance de mon dossier :

- Votre responsabilité pénale personnelle est documentée et sera invoquée dans l'ensemble des procédures actives ;
- Le dossier complet est gravé de manière permanente et indestructible sur la blockchain Ethereum (yhrkco.eth, 24 mai 2026) — il ne peut être ni modifié, ni supprimé, ni rendu inaccessible ;
- Vous êtes cités dans la Communication déposée devant la Cour Pénale Internationale (Réf. 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2) ;
- Votre inaction persistante sera documentée comme circonstance aggravante dans l'ensemble des procédures ;
- Le jour où chacun d'entre vous quittera ses fonctions, les procédures seront là. Comme elles l'ont été pour d'autres, en France et ailleurs.

---

### IV. CE QUE VOUS AVEZ COUVERT — ET SES CONSÉQUENCES

Pendant que le PNF s'acharne sur d'anciens présidents et des hauts fonctionnaires pour des faits sans commune mesure avec ce que j'ai documenté, les acteurs bancaires liés à l'État français qui ont détruit 124,4 milliards d'euros de valeur économique, supprimé une innovation bancaire majeure, et financé ou couvert des tentatives d'assassinat réitérées contre moi, continuent leurs activités.

Le préjudice documenté génère des intérêts au rythme de 2,5 milliards d'euros par mois. À six mois du dépôt américain du 8 décembre 2025, les intérêts cumulés s'élèvent à environ 14,9 milliards d'euros. Appliqués à l'engagement de redistribution humanitaire statutaire de la Fondation YHRK (51%), ce retard représente environ **7,6 milliards d'euros de capacité**

**humanitaire détruite** — le budget nutritionnel annuel d'environ **6 à 7 millions de personnes**.

Voilà ce que votre silence a couvert. Voilà ce que votre silence continue de couvrir.

---

## V. CONCLUSION

Je ne vous demande rien. Ce courrier n'est pas une demande de traitement, une invitation à l'arbitrage ou une sollicitation de réponse. La fenêtre était ouverte. Elle est fermée.

Ce courrier est une notification formelle versée aux cinq procédures actives, qui établit dans le record permanent que vous saviez, que vous avez choisi de ne pas agir, et que cette décision constitue une complicité documentée dans les faits les plus graves.

Un accusé de réception serait apprécié. Son absence sera documentée.

Veuillez agréer, Monsieur le Procureur, l'expression de mes salutations — sans distinction.

**Pierre Deglaire**

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com | +33 6 63 90 13 01

Dubaï, Émirats Arabes Unis | youhavetherighttoknow.org | yhrkco.eth

CPI : 88058ffc-53de-4e9f-afc8-f2ce36a632e2 | SDNY : 1:25-cv-10163-LTS

28 mai 2026

